

# **In-plane Shear Behaviour of Unreinforced Masonry Panels Strengthened with Fibre Reinforced Polymer Strips**

**Robert Bruce Petersen**

BEng (Hons I)

*A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of*

**Doctor of Philosophy**

(School of Engineering)

October, 2009



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
**NEWCASTLE**  
AUSTRALIA



*I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis is the result of original research and has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other University or Institution.*

*I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains a published paper of which I am a joint author. The research work presented in Chapter 3 of this thesis has been published in the Journal of Composites for Construction:*

*R B Petersen, M J Masia, and R Seracino. Bond Behavior of NSM FRP strips Bonded to Modern Clay Brick Masonry Prisms: Influence of Strip Orientation and Compression Perpendicular to the Strip. Journal of Composites for Construction, 13(3), 169-178, 2009.*

*I am solely responsible for the research presented in this joint publication, under the supervision of Mark Masia and Rudi Seracino.*

(Signed): \_\_\_\_\_

Robert Petersen

(Signed): \_\_\_\_\_

Mark Masia (supervisor)



# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors Mark Masia and Rudi Seracino for their support and excellent supervision. Thanks Mark for always having the time for me and carefully considering all of my questions. Thanks Rudi for your technical input, kind reviews, and for looking after me during my stay at North Carolina.

I would also like to thank my family and friends. Most of all, I would like to thank Alison for her love and support (and for doing all of the housework while I was writing my thesis). I also need to make a special mention of the guys in room EF120. Adrian, Sagy, Juan, Adam, Ang and Dan, thanks for putting up with my annoying antics.

I gratefully acknowledge the support of the technical staff of the Civil, Surveying and Environmental Engineering Laboratory. I acknowledge the financial support provided by the Australian Research Council under DP0559706.



# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Unreinforced masonry shear walls . . . . .	3
2.2	Repair, strengthening and retrofitting of masonry walls . . . . .	5
2.2.1	Motivation . . . . .	5
2.2.2	Conventional strengthening/retrofitting techniques . . . . .	6
2.2.3	FRPs as a strengthening/retrofitting alternative . . . . .	7
2.3	FRP application techniques . . . . .	8
2.3.1	External bonding . . . . .	8
2.3.2	Structural repointing . . . . .	9
2.3.3	Near-surface mounting . . . . .	10
2.4	FRP reinforcement mechanisms . . . . .	11
2.4.1	Resistance against sliding along a single bed joint . . . . .	11
2.4.2	Resistance against diagonal cracking . . . . .	12
2.4.3	Resistance against flexural failure and rocking . . . . .	13
2.5	Past FRP strengthened wall tests . . . . .	13
2.5.1	Externally bonded reinforcement . . . . .	13
2.5.2	Structurally repointed reinforcement . . . . .	18
2.5.3	Near-surface mounted reinforcement . . . . .	20
2.6	FRP-to-masonry bond characterisation tests . . . . .	21
2.6.1	Pull tests . . . . .	21
2.6.2	FRP strengthened masonry triplets and assemblages . . . . .	22
2.7	Finite element models for FRP reinforced masonry . . . . .	24
2.7.1	Modelling masonry . . . . .	24
2.7.2	Modelling FRP and attachment to masonry . . . . .	26
2.7.3	Examples of FRP strengthened masonry models from the literature . . . . .	26
2.8	Analytical models . . . . .	30
2.8.1	Externally bonded discrete strips/sheets . . . . .	30
2.8.2	Externally bonded sheets covering the whole wall surface . . . . .	34
2.8.3	Structurally repointed reinforcement . . . . .	35
2.9	Research gaps and Proposed Work . . . . .	37
2.9.1	Research gaps . . . . .	37
2.9.2	Proposed Work . . . . .	39

<b>3</b>	<b>Pull tests</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	Experimental Program . . . . .	42
3.2.1	Pull test specimens . . . . .	42
3.2.2	Test setup and procedure . . . . .	45
3.3	Experimental Results . . . . .	49
3.3.1	Failure modes: Series 1 . . . . .	49
3.3.2	Failure modes: Series 2 . . . . .	49
3.3.3	Effect of variables on bond strength . . . . .	54
3.3.4	FRP to masonry interface behaviour . . . . .	57
3.4	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Experimental tests on FRP strengthened masonry wall panels</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
4.2	Experimental program . . . . .	70
4.3	Experimental results . . . . .	73
4.3.1	Unreinforced masonry (URM) panels . . . . .	73
4.3.2	FRP strengthened panels . . . . .	75
4.4	Comparisons between test specimens . . . . .	102
4.4.1	Load-displacement behaviour . . . . .	102
4.4.2	Load increase due to strengthening . . . . .	107
4.4.3	Displacement ductility . . . . .	109
4.5	Comparison of results with similar tests from the literature . . . . .	110
4.6	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	113
<b>5</b>	<b>Finite element modelling</b>	<b>115</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	115
5.2	Masonry model . . . . .	115
5.2.1	Interface element non-linear behaviour . . . . .	116
5.3	Masonry material characterisation tests . . . . .	120
5.3.1	Bond wrench tests . . . . .	120
5.3.2	Compression tests on masonry prisms . . . . .	122
5.3.3	Torsion test . . . . .	126
5.3.4	Lateral modulus of rupture test . . . . .	135
5.4	FE model: URM panel specimens . . . . .	136
5.5	FRP Reinforcement model . . . . .	142
5.5.1	Attaching FRP to masonry in finite element model . . . . .	142
5.5.2	Calibration of bond-slip model . . . . .	143
5.5.3	Dowel action . . . . .	147
5.5.4	Limitations of the FRP reinforced masonry FE model . . . . .	151
5.6	FE model: FRP reinforced panel specimens . . . . .	153
5.6.1	Panels V4A & V4B . . . . .	156
5.6.2	Panel V2 . . . . .	166
5.6.3	Panels H4A & H4B . . . . .	169



---

5.6.4	Panels V2H2A & V2H2B . . . . .	174
5.7	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	187
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions/Recommendations</b>	<b>191</b>
6.1	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	191
6.2	Limitations and proposed future work . . . . .	192
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>195</b>



# ABSTRACT

Inserting fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) strips into pre-cut grooves in the surface of masonry walls is an emerging technique for the retrofit of unreinforced masonry (URM) structures. This method, known as near surface mounting (NSM), provides significant advantages over externally bonded FRP strips in that it has less of an effect on the aesthetics of a structure and can sustain higher loading before debonding. As this technique is relatively new, few studies into the behaviour of masonry walls strengthened using this technique have been conducted.

A combined experimental and numerical program was conducted as part of this research project to study the in-plane shear behaviour of masonry wall panels strengthened with NSM carbon FRP (CFRP) strips. In this project the FRP strips were designed to resist sliding along mortar bed joints and diagonal cracking (through mortar joints and brick units). Both of these failure modes are common to masonry shear walls. Different reinforcement orientations were used, including: vertical; horizontal; and a combination of both.

The first stage of the project involved characterising the bond between the FRP and the masonry using experimental pull tests (18 in total). From these tests the bond strength, the critical bond length and the local bond-slip relationship of the debonding interface was determined.

The second stage of the project involved conducting diagonal tension/shear tests on masonry panels. A total of four URM wall panels and seven strengthened wall panels were tested. These tests were used to determine: the effectiveness of the reinforcement; the failure modes; the reinforcement mechanisms; and the behaviour of the bond between the masonry and the FRP in the case of a panel.

The third stage of the project involved developing a finite element model to help understand the experimental results. The masonry was modelled using the micro-modelling approach, and the FRP was attached to the masonry model using the bond-slip relationships determined from the pull tests.

Reinforcement schemes in which vertical FRP strips were used improved the strength and ductility of the masonry wall panels. When only horizontal strips were used to reinforce a wall panel, failure occurred along an un-strengthened bed joint and the increase in strength and ductility was negligible. The vertical reinforcement prevented URM sliding failure by restraining the opening (dilation) of the sliding cracks that developed through the mortar bed joints.

The finite element model reproduced the key behaviours observed in the experiments for both the unreinforced and FRP strengthened wall panels. This model would potentially be useful for the development of design equations.